

Summary of Self-Adaptation for Autonomous Systems

Introduction to Lecture 7

Autonomic Computing





What you've done during last Lab



Autonomic Computing Reference Architecture

Drawbacks of previous Lab implementation



- Monitoring
  - Based on the instances' names of components and links in the monitored system => not generic
- Analyse
  - Parsing existing components looking for criteria (existing links and components) => not generic
- Plan
  - Only being able to recreate previously existing links => not able to create new things
- Execute
  - Should only apply differences between monitored assembly and new computed one

=> General problem of expressivity to add functionnalities/features to existing system



Lecture 7
Aspects of Assemblies

Aspects of Assemblies for structural self-adaptation

Aspect of Assembly Concept for self-adaptation



- From AOP Principles
- Aspect of Assembly Principles
- Complete AA Weaving Cycle
- Different kinds of conflict resolution

From Aspect-Oriented Programming principles



- Complex programs are composed of different intervened cross-cutting concerns.
- Cross-cutting concerns:
  - Properties or areas of interest such as QoS, energy consumption, fault tolerance, and security.
- Terminology
  - Aspect
  - Basic Functionality
  - Aspect Language
  - Aspect Weaver
    - Static
    - Dynamic
  - Woven Code



AOP in action.

Reminder : AOP Principles




```
public class HelloWorld {
        public static void main (String[ ] args)  {
                new HelloWorld().sayHello();
        }
        public void sayHello () {
                system.out.println("Hello World!");
        }
}
```

```
pointcut Two():
        execution(*HelloWorld.sayHello(..));

before():Two() {
        System.out.println( "Hello One ...");
    }
```

Weaver

Pointcuts Matching (1)

Advices Application (2)

```
public class HelloWorld {
        public static void main (String[ ] args) {
                System.out.println("Hello One...");
                new HelloWorld().sayHello();
                System.out.println("Hello Two...");
        }
        public void sayHello () {
                system.out.println("Hello World!");
        }
}
```

Aspect of Assembly Principles









AOP inspired for Component based approach (like LCA)

Aspect of Assembly Principles





Aspect of Assembly Principles





Complete AA Weaving Cycle





Pointcut Matching (1)



- Pointcut Matching aims to determine in the base assembly all areas where changes described in an AA can be applied.
- Indeed, it is a filter that takes as input all the ports present in the application.
- It is parametrized by the rules defined in the pointcut section of the AA.
- It produces some lists of joinpoints that satisfy each rule and more precisely, a list for each rule.



Pointcut Matching Example



Base assembly



$PointCut_i = \{Rule_0, Rule_1\}$

$Rule_0 = A^*.a$

$Rule_1 = B^*.e$

JPoint ={A1.a, A1.f, A2.a, A2.b, B1.e, B1.c, B2.e, B2.a, D1.c, D2.g}

PointCutMatching

1. Eval $Rule_0 = A^*.a$
   $I_{i0} = \{A1.a; A2.a\}$
2. Eval $Rule_1 = B^*.a$
   $I_{i0} = \{B1.e; B2.e\}$

LJPoint$_i = \{I_{i0}, I_{i1}\} = \{\{A1.a; A2.a\}; \{B1.e; B2.e\}\}$

Pointcut Matching Algorithm



Algorithm 1 $PointcutMatching(JPoint, PointCut_i)$

l_{ij} : a list of ports (joinpoint) where $l_{ij} = port_{ij00}, ..., port_{ijnz}$ and j is the number of list which is equal to the number of rules in $PointCut_i$
$LJPoint_i$: a set of joinpoint lists where $LJPoint_i = \{l_{io}, ..., l_{ij}\}$
$JPoint$: the set of ports from the base assembly $port_{00}, ..., port_{nz}$ y.

create $LJPoint_i$
for $s = 0$ to j **do**
  Add a new list l_{is} to $LJPoint_i$
  for $t = 0$ to card(JPoint) **do**
    if JPoint[t] satisfy the rule $Rule_{is}$ **then**
      Add JPoint[t] to the list l_{is}
    end if
  end for
end for

Jointpoint Combination (2)



- Joinpoint combination and filters
- Join Point Combination aims to combine joinpoints that satisfy the pointcut matching according to various policies in order to dene how and where will be duplicated the AA.
- Joinpoints lists created identify all ports that check pointcut rules, in fact a list for each rule. To be applied, advices require at least an element of each list : a combination.
- Thus, an advice can be applied as many times as there are combinations of joinpoints between these lists.

List of list of joinpoint
$LJPoint_i=\{l_{i0},...,l_{ij}\}$
$L_{ij}=\{port_{ij00},...,port_{ijnz}\}$

→ Joinpoint Combination →

$JPointComb_i=\{Comb_{i0},...,Comb_{ij}\}$

$JPCombination(LJPoint_i)=JPointComb_i$

Jointpoint Combination Example



LJPoint$_i$ ={l_{i0},l_{i1}}= {{A1.a; A2.b; A2.c}; {B1.e; B2.f}; {c1.g} }

Number of combination
Product=3*2*1=6

A1.a	A2.b	A2.c
B1.e	B2.f	
C1.g		

Number of list beginning with A1.a
Product/Card(LJPoint [0])=6/3=2

{ A1.a } { A1.a } { A2.b } { A2.b } { A2.c } { A2.c }

Number of list beginning with A1.a and B1.e
Product/Card(LJPoint [1])=2/2=1

{ A1.a, B1.e } { A1.a, B2.f } { A2.b, B1.e } { A2.b, B2.f } { A2.c, B1.e } { A2.c, B1.f }

Number of list beginning with A1.a and B1.e and c1.g
Product/Card(LJPoint [2])=1/1=1

{ A1.a, B1.e, C1.g } { A1.a, B2.f, C1.g } { A2.b, B1.e, C1.g } { A2.b, B2.f, C1.g } { A2.c, B1.e, C1.g } { A2.c, B1.f, C1.g }

JPointComb$_i$ ={$Comb_{i0}$,$Comb_{i1}$, $Comb_{i2}$, $Comb_{i3}$, $Comb_{i4}$, $Comb_{i5}$ }=
{(A1.a,B1.e,C1.g); (A1.a,B2.f,C1.g); (A2.b,B1.e,C1.g); (A2.b,B2.f,C1.g)
(A2.c,B1.e,C1.g);(A2.c,B1.f,C1.g)}

Jointpoint Combination Algorithm



Algorithm 2 JPCombination($LJPoint$)

ACombination: list of joinpoint
Product: Integer : number of possible combination
mult : Integer : number of combination using the joinpoint
lcomb: list of combination

```
mult=1;
create JPointComb
for i = 0 to card(LJPoint) do
   Create lcomb
   ACombination.Clean
   product = product/(card(LJPoint[i]) − 1)
   for j = 1 to card(LJPoint[i]) do
      for k = 0 to product do
         ACombination.Add(LJPoint[i][j])
      end for
   end for
   for j = 1 to mult do
      lcomb.Add(ACombination)
   end for
   JPointComb[i]= lcomb
   mult = mult × (card(LJPoint[i]) − 1)
end for
return JPointComb
```

Filter Algorithm



- To Poincut Matching and combination mechanisms may be associated some filters.
- The filter associated to the pointcut matching can withdraw some identified joinpoints.

Algorithm 3 Filter

j : number of combination

for $s = 0$ to j **do**
  for $t = 0$ to $card(LJPoint_i[j])$ **do**
    if filtre$(l_{is}[t])$ **then**
      $l_{is}.remove(t)$
    end if
  end for
end for

Advice Factory (3)



- AdviceFactory aims to build, from the list of joinpoint combination, instances of advice.
- Thus it create as many instances of advice as possible according to the list of combinations.
- It consist in replacing variables from advice rules with the joinpoint from each combinations.



Advice Factory Algorithm



Algorithm 4 AdviceFactory($JPointComb_i$)

k : number of combination
w : number of advice rules

for $s = 0$ to k **do**
  for $t = 0$ to w **do**
    Replace variable from $ARule[t]$ using $JPointComb[s]$
  end for
end for

Conflict Identification (4)



- Superimposing component assemblies is a mechanism that builds a unique assembly from several intermediates component assemblies (and thus instances of advices).



Superimposition Algorithm



Algorithm 5 Superimpose(iAdviceList)

y : number of instance of advice

for $d = 0$ to y **do**
  for $t = 0$ to $card(iAdvise_d)$ **do**
    if $iAdvise_d[t] NotIn G_0$ **then**
      Add $iAdvise_d[t]$ to G_0
    end if
  end for
end for

Conflict Resolution (5)



- Conflict resolution Conflict resolution aims to solve conflicts occurring when several instances of advices are woven on the same joinpoint (shared joinpoints)



Conflict Resolution Algorithm



- Depends on the merge strategy
- Then depends on the Merge function

Algorithm 6 ConflictResolution(iAdvice)

for $s = 0$ to $card(List\otimes)$ **do**
  $Merge(List \otimes [s])$
end for

Different kinds of Conflicts Resolution



- External resolution for conflicts
- Internal resolution for conflicts (merge)
  - Example of language to describe advice : ISL4WComp
  - ISL4WComp operators merging matrix
  - Merging logic and its properties

External Composition



- I-Advices are « blackbox »
- I-Advices are scheduled
- Before, After, Around …



Internal Composition with Merge



- I-Advice are « whitebox »
- Conflicted I-Advices can be merged according to a specific logic and its properties (ex. ISL, ISL4WComp, BSL …)



Example of language to describe advice : ISL4WComp



- Operators are :
  - ; (seq)
  - || (par)
  - If / else
  - Nop
  - Call
  - delegate

	Keywords / Operators	Description
port types	*comp.port*	'.' is to separate the name of an instance of component from the name of a port. It describes a provided port.
	comp.^ port	'^ ' at the beginning of a port name describes a required port.
Rules for structural adaptations	*comp : type*	To create a black-box component
	comp : type (prop = val, ...)	To create a black-box component and to initialize properties
	required_port → (required_port)	To create a link between two ports. The keyword → separates the right part of the rule from its left part
	provided_port → (required_port)	To rewrite an existing link by changing the destination port
Operators (symmetry property, conflicts resolution)	... ; ...	Describes the sequence
	... || ...	To describe that there is no order (parallelism)
	`if (condition) {...} else {...}`	`condition` is evaluated by a black-box component
	`nop`	Nothing to do
	`call`	Allow to reuse the left part of a rule in a rewriting rule
	`delegate`	Allow to specify that an interaction is unique in case of conflict

ISL4WComp Operators Merging Matrix



- Merging logic is based on rules to merge semantic trees of the advices
- Each rule gives the result of merging of one operator with another



Merging Logic and its Properties



- Example of prooved properties for a composition / merging logic :

Commutativity : AA0 ⊗ AA1 = AA0 ⊗ AA1
Associativity : (AA0 ⊗ AA1) ⊗AA2 = AA0 ⊗ (AA1 ⊗ AA2)
Idempotence : AA0 ⊗ AA0 = AA0

- Weaving mecanism becomes « Symmetric »
- It can apply a set of AA without caring of their order.

Details on AA temporal Validation (response time)



- To response in a timely fashion we need to garantee a minimum response time
- To study the response time of the overall adaptation process based on AA, we need to study :
  - Each algorithm and its complexity
  - Temporal model of the response time and the identification of its parameters

Complete AA Weaving Cycle





Pointcut Matching (1)



A : duration of the PointcutMatching process

$a1; a2$: model parameters

c : number of ports into the base assembly

i : number of AA

j : number of rules in the pointcut section of an AA

$$A = a1 \times \sum_{k=1}^{i} (j.c) + a2$$



Joinpoint Combination (2)



C : Duration of the joinpoint combination process

$a1; a2$: model parameters

$JPoint$: the set of joinpoints

i : number of AA

j : number of rules in the pointcut section of an AA

$$C = a1 \times \sum_{k=1}^{i} (card(JPoint)^j) + a2$$



Advice Factory (3)



A : duration of instance of advice generation

k : number of combination

w : number of advice rule

$a1;a2$: model parameters

$$A = a1 \times \sum_{k=1}^{i}(kw) + a2$$



Conflict Identification (4)



S : duration of instance of advice superposition

y : number of instance of advice

w : number of advice rule

$g0$: number of rules in the initial instance of advice

$a1;a2$: model parameters

$$S = a1 \times \sum_{i=1}^{y} (w_i . g_0) + a2$$



Conflict Resolution, Example with ISL4WComp



- Duration of instance of advice merging

F : duration of instance of advice merging
g_o : number of rules in the base assembly
y : number of instance of advice
w : number of advice rule
$a1$: model parameters
p_i : merging probability
M : Cost of merging

$$F = a1.g_0 \times \sum_{i=1}^{y} w_i.p_i.M$$

Conflict Resolution, Example with ISL4WComp



- Conflict resolution processing response time.
- Experiments : Response time average with C=33% and 50%



Synthesis : Overall Weaving Cycle



- Weaving cycles duration can be formally define as follows : W(n) = D(n)+C(n)+A(n)+S(n)+F(n) where n is the set of joinpoints from the base assembly.



DEMO and future works



- Simple Demo : AA in WComp
- Other DEMO : AA in WComp

Future Works in WComp



- Multi-Domain weaving for AA to adapt Mobile Workers applications (Cf. CONTINUUM project of the French National Research Agency towards « Continuity of Service »)

- Adaptation trigered by physical environment variations

- Semantic adaptation : Improving of Pointcut Matching algorithms from Ontology-Based Metadata and mapping between ontologies (Cf. Continuum project of the French National Research Agency towards « Continuity of Service »)

7.4 Questions ?